EXHIBIT 7

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND, NORTHERN DIVISION

*

THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY
AND ARROW INTERNATIONAL, INC.,*

Plaintiffs, * CIVIL NOS.: WDQ-05-0759

WDQ-06-2711

v. *

DATASCOPE CORPORATION, *

Defendant.

* * * * * * * * * * * *

ORDER

For the reasons discussed in the accompanying Memorandum Opinion, it is, this $9^{\rm th}$ day of August, 2007, ORDERED that:

- 1. The Plaintiffs' July 15, 2007 motion for Judgment on Partial Findings BE, and HEREBY IS, GRANTED;
- 2. The Plaintiffs' motion to alter/amend judgment (Paper No. 126) BE, and HEREBY IS, GRANTED;
- 3. Datascope IS HEREBY ENJOINED from advertising, manufacturing, selling, and/or distributing the infringing Prolumen device;
- 4. Datascope's motion for judgment as a matter of law as to invalidity (Paper No. 130) BE, and HEREBY IS, DENIED;
- 5. Datascope's motion to alter/amend judgment (Paper No. 131)
 BE, and HEREBY IS, GRANTED;
- 6. Datascope's motion for judgment as a matter of law as to infringement (Paper No. 132) BE, and HEREBY IS, DENIED;
- 7. Judgment BE, and HEREBY IS, entered in favor of the

- Plaintiffs against the Defendant on all claims and counterclaims and in the total sum of six hundred ninety thousand eight hundred seventy five dollars (\$690,875.00);
- 8. Any and all prior rulings made by the Court disposing of any claims against any parties are incorporated by reference herein, and this order shall be deemed to be a final Judgment within the meaning of Fed. R. Civ. P. 58; and
- 9. The Clerk of the Court shall send copies of this Memorandum Opinion and Order to counsel for the parties.

/s/

William D. Quarles, Jr. United States District Judge